



## COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES

April 4, 2012

Hopkins Hall

### **Quote of the Week:**

“There is never a dull moment in CC” –Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President), in response to a chair that broke during the meeting. (True fact Krista, true fact!)

“You’re fundamentally doing something wrong with your educational process if you’re not checking your email” –Schuyler Hall '10 (Student Centers Coordinator)→(wait, people don’t check their email?!)

\*As we meet tonight, our hearts are with the loved ones of Laurice Shern, Class of 2014, who passed away over break. This meeting is dedicated to her. She was a beloved member of our community and she will be missed and remembered.\*

**CALL TO ORDER** \_\_\_\_\_ **7:30** \_\_\_\_\_ **PM**

### **ROLL CALL**

*Julia Hoch '15 (Armstrong Rep.) missed the first vote and is thereby considered absent. Send me your proxies!!*

#### **I. ANNOUNCEMENTS (5 min.)**

*Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President) welcomed every to tonight’s meeting. She began the meeting by introducing the proxy for the evening (**Caroline Bruno '15 (Williams Hall Rep. (proxy))**). Krista also began by stating that the meeting was going to be dedicated to Laurice Shern '14, who unfortunately passed away over Spring Break after a valiant fight with cancer. She was a very caring and beloved member of our community and will be dearly missed.*

#### **II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (2 min.)**

*Approval of Minutes for **March 14, 2012**.*

***Motion: Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** moved to approve*

***Second: Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)***

***Vote: Unanimous***

#### **III. FUNDING REQUESTS (10 min.)**

*Review funding requests from the Finance Committee, presented by April Jenkins (T)*

***April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer)** presented the budget recommendations for the following groups: All Acoustic Alliance, Sailing, Sankofa, Students for Educational Reform, Asian American Studies, AASIA, AASIA (they had two different events).*



**Katy Carrigan '14 (Spencer At-Large Rep.)** asked what FinCom cut out of the Sailing budget. **April** responded that the group added two regattas that FinCom didn't initially approve during the sub-group allocation process in the fall, and therefore, did not receive money for that (because their proposals this year have been very large and FinCom had to make cuts to

ensure they got other items requested). The Finance Committee also didn't fund the most expensive gloves, but instead, funded a set of gloves that were equally as durable and useful to the group. Lastly, the group cut out sail bags. **Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** reminded

Council and all students in attendance not on Council that the Finance Committee meets with groups the night prior to the Council meeting and discusses their funding. They then

make a recommendation to Council, which then has to approve of the funding decision.

**Motion: Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** moved to approved as a slate

**Second: Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)**

**Vote: Unanimous**

#### IV. FROSH COUNCIL FUNDING REQUEST (1 min.)

Re-vote on an item passed by Council on **March 14, 2012** due to a potential Conflict of Interest.

**April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer)** asked why this item was added onto the agenda and asked for the potential conflict of interest to be explained. Because **Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** added the item onto the agenda, he responded. He stated that whenever an item is moved, seconded, or voted on, members of Council who have a direct involvement/are deeply invested in the group receiving the funds are not allowed to participate in the voting process. If these members, instead, vote on the items, it is considered a conflict of interest because they are voting on items that they will directly benefit from. As a result, this item had to be added onto the agenda because **Emily Dzieciatko '15 (Sage Hall Rep)** moved to approve the item and **Adrian, April, Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Hall Rep.)** and **Caroline Miller '14 (Currier Board Rep.)** voted. Thus, this item needed to be re-vote.

**Motion: Katy Carrigan '14 (Spencer At-Large Rep.)** moved to approve

**Second: Nicole Chen '15 (Pratt Rep.)**

**Vote: 14-0-5 (Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary), April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer), Caroline Miller '14 (Currier Board Rep.), Emily Dzieciatko '15 (Sage Hall Rep.), and Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Rep.)).**

#### V. SUPERFAN PROGRAM RESOLUTION (5 min.)

Review the proposed resolution, sponsored by Krista and Peter, to strengthen the Superfan Program



**Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** began by stating that everyone should've received an several emails from **Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** with the resolution for the SuperFan Program (In my defense, the listserv was NOT working and therefore, forced me to send 5 copies of the same email to everyone. Sorry Council!). For some background on the program, **Krista** started by stating that two years ago, the SuperFan Program was created as a Great Idea to highlight the importance of athletics, to get more students to go to events on campus, and to build school spirit and community. This program was designed to help bridge groups on campus together (like acapella and athletics, for example

**Ali Magruder '15 (Mills Hall Rep.)** asked how the program works when both the guys and girls teams for a specific sport play away at the same school. **Krista** stated that usually, the

bus gets there for the first game and then leaves after the second one. She also stated that the SuperFan coordinators are responsible for talking to the coaches to figure this out. **Krista** re-stated that one of the goals of the resolution was to create a committee to oversee the program and handle issues like these. **Ben Shuham '14 (Dodd At-Large Rep.)** asked what the approximate cost was if we actually guaranteed one bus per sport per season. **April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer)** stated that while it seems like it'd be a lot, last year, there were coaches who didn't want Superfan at the games. Since it is changing this time around (different format for requesting Superfan at games), demand should decrease. The total cost for last year was roughly \$6000. **Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)** asked what the difference was between Superfan as it is now and Superfan post-resolution. **Krista** responded that the current SuperFan is not currently active. The resolution would formalize our desire to continue the program. **Katy Carrigan '14 (Spencer At-Large Rep.)** asked if teams responded negatively about the program. **April** stated that coaches turned down the request because they didn't want to figure out the scheduling, not because they disliked the program. **Krista** added that this was a highly successful program that built school spirit, motivated students to attend athletic events, and while planning may have been strenuous, it was something students always looked forward to.

**Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Hall Rep.)** asked if teams with high demand would be able to get more than one bus per season if other teams don't want Superfan at their games. **Peter** stated that Point #5 of the resolution (Reads: Council "will evaluate the success of this initiative no later than Spring 2013") and therefore, it is up to the committee. He also added that the way the program ends up functioning is contingent upon what Council thinks about the program next term and the bylaw that gets written for it (Response to Point 4: "Will establish complete procedures and requirements for this initiative in the Council bylaws to ensure that the SuperFan fund is properly administered."). Lastly, he added that Council will ensure there is better advertising in the future.

**Motion: Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)** moved to approve

**Second: Ali Magruder '15 (Mills Hall Rep.)**

**Vote: Unanimous**



**NEW GROUP APPROVAL (10 min.)** (this item was presented before Item V. above)

*Presentation of new group proposals by our very own, Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.) and the Student Organizations Committee (SOC)*

*Kate Flanagan '14 began by giving a quick recap on the process for students who wish to begin their own club. First off, SOC meets with interested students and help guide them through a series of five steps. Next, students fill out a form online (it's on the CC website!!),*

*draft a constitution and present it to SOC. Following that, groups are required to hold a student interest meeting and present a petition with at least fifteen signatures. Finally, SOC presents their recommendations to Council, which ultimately approves or denies a clubs request.*

**Group 1:** *The Globalist (presented by Amir Hay '15, Chris Huffaker '15, and Burhan Aldroubi '15). Kate stated that the mission of the group is to address international affairs with an online edition of a newsletter, as well as a print edition set to go out twice a year. This group is similar to one at Yale (called Global 21).*

*Their status in the student organization formation process: Completed  
Recommendation: Approve the group*

**Motion to Approve: Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Hall Rep.)** moved to approve  
**Second: Wen Han '13 (Class of 2013 Rep.)**  
**Vote: Unanimous**

**Group 2:** *The Williams Triathlon Club (presented by Davis Filippell '12). Kate stated that the mission of the group is to provide an athletic group for all types of athletes and those just interested in competing.*

*Their status in the student organization formation process: Completed  
Recommendation: Recommend for approval with reservation.*

**Kate** added that the committee has some reservations with regards to the longevity of the club. Since this is upcoming championship is not something that they can ensure will occur again, they worry about the longevity of the group. The championship will be on April 21, 2012. She also added that they are uncertain about the aims for the organization beyond planning for this one event.

**Benjamin Fischberg '14 (Wood At-Large Rep.)** asked what other types of triathlons are in the Berkshires. **Davis** stated that at the open interest meeting, there were a lot of students who showed up that they didn't anticipate showing up. There is definitely an interested for this club to continue, which they will talk about in the following weeks. In the Berkshires, there is the New England Triathlon and while there aren't many in the



spring, there are a lot in the fall that the club would be able to attend in the future. For the upcoming event, 5 men and 5 women are able to participate. While it is limited,

there are many in the group who do not care about competing in this race, but in future races. **Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** asked whether or not they anticipated requesting funding in the future. **Davis** responded that they did and in response to **Peter's** question about how much, **Davis** stated that they anticipate needing roughly \$2,300 for entrance fees and transportation. **Krista** reminded Council that all decisions are made need blind.

**Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)** asked how he believed the club would function on a weekly basis. **Davis** responded that while at Oxford last year, he saw a great model

that he'd like to replicate for Williams. Basically, the leaders of the group would send out weekly trainings to the group members and then have optional, but encouraged get togethers to train together. In terms of races, that'll depend on when the races occur. **Peter** asked if there were underclassmen who were interested in continuing the club in the future. **Davis** said yes.

**Motion: Wen Han '13 (Class of 2013 Rep.)** moved to approve the group  
**Second: Emily Dzieciatko '15 (Sage Hall Rep.)**

**Benjamin Fischberg '14 (Wood At-Large Rep.)** asked why this should be a CC group and not a JV sport or club. **April** stated that club sports are CC groups and since they fit right, they'd be a CC group. **Kate** added that on that note, she is currently working with administrators to deal with the legal and liability issues with this group.

**Vote: Unanimous**

## VII. CC/MINCO ALL-CAMPUS FORUM (15 min.)

Discuss the upcoming College Council and Minco forum, along with representatives of MinCo, to gather ideas for this event

**Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** began the conversation by stating that she and **Peter Skipper (Co-President)** were approached and met with the MinCo board to think of a possible series of discussions with MinCo (ex. the Effortless Perfection talk earlier this year). This is now something they want to bring up to Council to see whether or not Council should continue supporting this effort.

**Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** spoke on behalf of MinCo. Their goal is to have one more forum before we go out on summer vacation and when we come back, look at implementing a program that continues these discussions. These are community forums that address issues particular to Williams students' experiences to ensure all voices are heard. She also added that this falls in her purviews as the Community and Diversity Representative and reiterated that MinCo is interested in continuing these discussions.



*A specific idea for this is one on student employment. This is broad and covers how students juggle work and academics, how they experience their own jobs and others on the job.*

*There is currently a thread talking about this on WSO and this is timely to give students a voice and space to talk about that. This will be facilitated by CC "friends" (whoever that will be) and MinCo board reps.*

**Wen Han '13 (Class of 2013 Rep.)** asked what the relationship was between these talks and Claiming Williams Day. **Kate** answered that these discussions come out of the same need, but they are not entirely the same. In terms of regularity, they are different, and in envisioning a long-term plan for these discussions, they are looking at having such talks monthly as opposed to dedicating one day to them. Student want more opportunities to talk about these issues, so it is their hope that this will move forward

**Caroline Miller '14 (Currier Board Rep.)** asked if this more of an engaging talk for students to participate versus a forum with panelists. **Kate** affirmed her question; there will only be facilitators, not panelists. The conversation will proceed according to where students take it.

**Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)** asked what the purpose of this discussion was (whether CC was formalizing support of this or doing something else). **Kate** responded that the point of the discussion to see whether or not Council saw a need for this and wanted to support it. There is no emergent funding need (because there are no costs associated with talking apparently...I think an Econ major would disagree with you) and therefore, wanted to see dedicated support for the semester and then revisit this next year. **Peter** added that in previous Councils, these types of decisions were made without bringing them to Council first; it's their goal to bring such to the Council before moving forward.

**Emily Dzieciatko '15 (Sage Hall Rep.)** stated that this is important to help build community on campus and to allow others to see students you don't normally believe to talk these issues talking in front of a large audience. **Krista** wanted to emphasize the importance of College Council and the Minority Coalition doing this together. These are set up for groups like Council and the Mental Health Committee can go, listen, and gather ideas.

At this time, **Krista** called the following straw poll:

*If Council in favor of co-hosting one more forum this semester and then evaluating it next year.*

**Vote: A majority agreed**

#### **VIII. ASSISTANT TO THE TREASURER PROPOSAL (10 min.)**

*Review a proposal from April Jenkins '14, Treasurer, to create an "Assistant to the Treasurer" position to assist the Treasurer in his/her duties*

**April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer)** began this conversation by giving some context behind the formulation of this position. The Treasurer's position takes up a lot of time, mostly dealing with tedious tasks, thereby reducing the amount of time available to work on issues of larger



importance (such as discussing what to do with the Rollover Fund). Additionally, if we only have one treasurer and he/she cannot complete his/her tasks for whatever reason (i.e. sick, out of town, etc.), all funding on campus ceases to continue because no one else is able to fulfill these responsibilities. **Peter Skipper '13 (Co-President)** added that the creation of this position stemmed not from April being lazy and not wanting to do her job, but to assist in her daily tasks (which can be a lot for one person). He also added that other schools have several people responsible for finances on campus, which decreases the burden on the treasurer. **April** added that the goal is to get someone to work closely with her—preferably, though not necessary, a member of the Finance Committee—to work with the accounting of College Council. This person will not only work with the Treasurer, but will assist in communicating with groups, the Controller, and College Council. **April** also added that this position would be analogous to the College Council Communications Team in that it'll help disseminate information to others on campus and to increase the ability of Council to better communicate with and serve the student body.

**Brian McGrail '14 (Spencer Board Rep.)** asked why this is only an appointed position and not a position in which someone at-large can run for. **Peter** stated that currently, it is in a trial phase. It will work similarly to how Council appoints members to the Finance Committee. In the long term, making this a position people can run for (possibly Co-Treasurers or something similar to that) could be addressed, but would require another conversation and eventually, a constitutional amendment.

**Benjamin Augenbraun '15 (Class of 2015 Rep.)** asked why this isn't open to anyone on campus that has served on FinCom in the past. **Krista** stated that they wanted to open it up to Council and FinCom first. **Peter** added that since it is late in the year, it's important to get someone soon and with the knowledge to begin working quickly.

**Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** asked if this person would change each semester. **Peter** said yes. **Kate** proceeded to ask what the difference was between Council approving this person and the Appointments Committee approving the other position. If this is analogous to the CCCT, the Appointments Committee and not Council should appoint.

**Caroline Miller '14 (Currier Board Rep.)** called a Point of Information and asked what this was analogous to. **Krista** reminded Council of the CC committee appointments process at the beginning of the term: Council members submitted their self-noms, the Appointments Committee reviewed them, and then made their selection. **Peter** added that this is analogous to the CCCT because technically, it does all the work the Secretary is supposed to be doing. The Assistant to the Treasurer is essentially doing the same thing.

**April** added that she is looking for someone who is aware of the funding procedures, is capable of working with large, complicated spreadsheets, is an effective communicator, etc. **Peter** ended by saying that while it is helpful to have FinCom experience, anyone is able to apply. If not on FinCom, they will be added onto the committee for the remainder of the year.



## **STUDENT-FACULTY COMMITTEE UPDATES (15 min.)**

*Presentation by three of our student-faculty committee chair's-CUL, CEP, and CDC-as described in our By-laws to maintain and increase communication and collaboration*

**Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** began by introducing the two students who are present to represent their committee—Amy Nguyen '12 and Lily Wong '12—and stated that College Council is charged with appointing students to student-faculty committees that function as the legs and arms of student government. It is up to us to check in with them, as mandated in our bylaws.

**Lily Wong '12**, Chair of the Committee on Diversity and Community, presented to Council what CDC has worked on this year. She stated that the committee is broken down into sub-groups that work on issues that the committee brainstorms at the beginning of the year. She also stated that Williams Reads is one of the committees within the CDC, which they worked to expand for the upcoming year. This year, one of the committee's focuses was at looking at biases in admissions and in the classroom, for example. As a student life group, they also look at how financial aid affects students and how first generation and international students transition to campus life.

**Max Heninger '14 (Currier At-Large Rep.)** asked **Lily** about the upcoming affirmative action case that is currently being reviewed by the Supreme Court. **Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** responded (she is on the affirmative action committee within the CDC). Because we are a private institution, the case will not affect us directly, but will affect the employment side of the campus. They are awaiting the final outcome to proceed.

Next up was **Amy Nguyen '12**, who serves as the Chair of the Committee on Educational Policy. She stated that, in a nutshell, they are in charge of looking at curriculum as a whole and looking at policy to see where the curriculum is heading. They focus on looking at changes to majors, classes, etc. and ensuring that all gaps in the curriculum as addressed (including fields like media studies, public health, linguistics, etc.). They are also working on compiling a report that will be released to departments and programs. The survey was an exercise in gathering student opinion on the curriculum in hopes of providing more information to the departments and programs.

She also stated that written into the Exploring Diversity Initiative's mandate is that a review be done by the committee and later, a faculty vote must be called in order to continue the initiative. Last fall's hate crime just happened to make next year's review (which was going to happen anyway) all the more significant and therefore, prompted them to spend some time as a committee this year talking about the place of the initiative and crafting together survey questions. The current senior class was the first class to have to meet this requirement and as a result, they will be surveyed prior to their graduation and then begin reviewing the initiative next year.





Additionally, the committee works on:

- Course Packets
- Hiring faculty
- Practices with returning final exams and papers
- Freshman Curriculum (specifically, Freshman Residential Seminar, which will be discontinued next year)

*Krista* described why the committee discontinued the above. The entry system was supposed to be a microcosm of the entire campus and as a result, no student is allowed to pick into where they live. Those who lived in Willy F, however, were a self-selecting group and therefore, against what the entry system strived to attain.

In response to **Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Hall Rep.)** question regarding the composition of the committee and outreach to first-years, **Amy** stated that there are 6 students, faculty, and others. The students are elected at-large via the Appointments Committee process at the end of the Spring semester. She also stated that there should be better advertising to ensure freshman know these committees exist in the fall in case they are interested in working with a committee on a specific issue.

**Caroline Miller '14 (Currier Board Rep.)** asked about the possibility of mandating all faculty to give out finals and exams so that students don't feel that those who get requests don't take it out on their students. **Amy** stated that the faculty is generally in favor of giving out papers if students ask for them.

**Laura Berk '12**, Chair of the Committee on Undergraduate Life, was not able to attend the meeting, but did submit her quick write up (as committee chairs should...good job Laura!). She stated the following:

*"The CUL exists in the space between students and the administration and works on all non-academic aspects of student life. This year we've discussed how to improve the JA system and fostered the organization of the JA forum over Winter Study. In addition, after the hate crime, we solicited responses from the community on the hate crime and subsequent events and created a report based on these responses and our committee discussions, which we made available to the campus.. We've also been working all semester on thinking of meaningful ways to increase interaction between undergraduate students and graduate students in both the CDE and Art History programs. For example, I am currently organizing weekly lunches at the CDE for interested undergraduates. More recently, the CUL is in discussions with staff and administration to increase access for students to both Hollander and Schapiro and has brokered conversations regarding practice spaces for acappella groups. Throughout the semester it is also the CUL's responsibility to determine how to allocate its funds to groups wanting to put on events with meaningful faculty-student interaction."*

**Peter Skipper '13 (Co-President)** added that these three committee are the most important and longest standing. He explained the process behind appointments: the College Council



Co-Presidents seek self-noms to the 20+ committees during the Spring semester. Afterwards, the Appointments Committee (comprised of the 8 years long reps.) meet and

appoint students onto their committees. Currently, the CCCT is working on advertising these committees to ensure students apply. **Amy** added that in many of these committees, students have full voting power, thus adding to the importance of these committees. In response to **Katy Carrigan '14 (Spencer At-Large Rep.)** questions about whether or not students going abroad can apply to be on committees, Peter said yes, it's possible.

### **CC ROLLOVER TASKFORCE (8:43 – 8:53 PM)**

Presentation by CC Treasurer describing potential models for the College Council Rollover Fund, as well as looking at the creation of a taskforce to deal with this money

**April Jenkins '14 (Treasurer)** began by stating that at the NESAC summit she went to over spring break, she was able to talk to students from other student governments about different funding structures and got some ideas for what can be done at Williams. The goal of this conversation is to create a taskforce of interested individuals to investigate options and create plans to look at the plausibility of different funding structures. She also added that this taskforce will be comprised of 6 students made up of members of CC and if interest, is not opposed to getting students not on CC on the taskforce. **Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** asked how the students were going to be selected if there is a lot of interested. **April** stated that the Appointments Committee will appoint and hopes for this to happen within the next two weeks. **Julia Hoch '15 (Armstrong Rep.)** asked what power this committee would have. **April** stated that the people will solely talk about the issue and make a recommendation (with a strategy) to College Council

**Emily Calkins '14 (Class of 2014 Rep.)** stated that it is important to remember reaching out to students using the typical avenues, but also other students (Econ majors, math majors, etc.). **Kate** responded that it is important NOT to specialize because it is important to include all students on campus. She also stated that while the typical math major or economics major may be largely in favor serving on such a committee, talking about the rollover fund, there are many students on campus who do not fall within this category that may be interested. It is important to do a much broader campaign to not run the risk leaving students out.

### **X. OPEN TIME (8:53 – 8:58 PM)**

Council used open time to respond to the opinions of the week.

### **XI. OPINIONS@WSO (8:58 – 8:59 PM)**

**Kate Flanagan '14 (Community and Diversity Rep.)** presented an opinion to change the way elections are advertised. Her idea was to include the self-noms into an issue of the Record (separate sheet of paper) that minimized the amount of paper used on campus to advertise. In essence, this would assist in outreaching to students on campus who may not use Facebook, WSO, etc. to find out the happenings of campus and instead, reach out to those



who read the record. **April** added that WSO tends to have deep proclivities towards ad hominem attacks and would shy away from using that space as the advertising space for

elections. **Kate** added that that still doesn't take into account students who don't use the internet.

**Benjamin Augenbraun '15 (Class of 2015 Rep.)** asked about the way self-noms were sent out this past election cycle and why it's a problem since students have to check their email anyways. **Schuyler Hall '10 (Student Centers Coordinator)** stated that there is an assumption that when an email is sent out, it is read. He added that students are fundamentally doing something with their educational process if they're not checking their email (very true...quote of the week!). **Rani Mukherjee '15 (Dennett Rep.)** also added (in response to Kate) that she believes we should refrain from campus wide posterage. **Kate** responded that the benefit of this is the centralization of knowledge on campus.

**Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** threw in her two cents. She stated that the elections committee will look at all this stuff and will then look at the possibility of going paperless. This conversation should be deferred to them, though. **Benjamin Fischberg '14 (Wood At-Large Rep.)** and **Julia Hoch '15 (Armstrong Rep.)** asked about the reasoning behind and likelihood of Council actually going paperless. Since there is a printing limit, they believe this proposal would not make sense. **Kate** responded that other colleges do it this way (her proposal) and that it is an alternative way of advertising to the student body. **Peter** reaffirmed the importance of Council advertising, which is a conversation Council will later have. **Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** also added that it is the role of the College Council Communications Team to advertise on campus and therefore, will talk about and consider all the different avenues for advertising at a future committee meeting. This will hopefully allow Council to have an advertising policy for events.

## XII. ANNOUNCEMENTS (8:59 – 9:00 PM)

***Peter Skipper '13 (Co-President)** brought up an idea he got from Connecticut College: a stall talk type pamphlet that updates students on the weekly happenings of College Council. Coined the "Conn-in-the-Can" stall talk at Conn College, it is their hope to work on creating a similar type document for Williams. The College Council Communication Team is currently working on creating this!*

***Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** met with Margie Wood, Co-Director of Psychological Counseling Services at the health center, about JA hours. **Krista** stated that Ms. Wood has been dying to work on this, but there has been no communication between JAAB and the Health Center to actually work on this. What they decided is that walk-in hours are not the best use of resources because JA's don't typically utilize them and the Center is understaffed. Instead, they are bringing the resources to us! Every Tuesday and Thursday from 3-5PM, they plan on having "Let's Talk" hours for student leaders—like JA's, team captains, and CC members!— in Paresky. She is also working on creating a focus group of JAs to talk with counselors to discuss the demand of the position and*



lastly, she's working to strengthen the relationship between JAs and the health center (increasing JA events).

**Peter Skipper '13 (Co-President)** updated Council on their attempt to get acapella groups to use Chapin, Bernard Music Center, and its rooms for acapella practices and performances. After speaking to the department heads, they are now able to use these spaces for their end of the semester concerts!! Way to go! He also added that he and **Krista** were going to meet with Dean Bolton and Steve Klass to talk about extending the hours of availability for Hollander and Shapiro.

**Krista Pickett '13 (Co-President)** ended the meeting by reminding Council that it is important to be present for the first vote; otherwise, it will be marked down as an absence. All proxy information must be sent to **Adrian Castro '14 (Secretary)** before Tuesday midnight in order to be excused.

**XIII. ADJOURNMENT (9:00 PM)**

*In memory of Laurice Shern '14*

**OPINIONS**

Week of March 14-April 3, 2012

Hopkins Hall

1. Could we finance the distribution of 100 word statements from all candidates for CC Elections in copies of the Record (i.e., a sheet of paper included inside the Record, not part of the Record), and go otherwise primarily paperless (i.e., only have posters on a central bulletin board in Paresky) for the campaign.